Holographic Superconductors in Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

Kai Lin* and E. Abdalla†
Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, CP 66318, 05315-970, São Paulo, Brazil

Anzhong Wang‡
GCAP-CASPER, Physics Department, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798-7316, U.S.A.
(Dated: June 19, 2014)

We consider holographic superconductors related to the Schwarzschild black hole in the low energy limit of Hořava-Lifshitz spacetime. The non-relativistic electromagnetic and scalar fields are introduced to construct a holographic superconductor model in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity and the results show that the α_2 term plays an important role, modifying the conductivity curve line by means of an attenuation the conductivity.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Tq, 04.70.Bw, 74.20.-z

arXiv:1406.4721v1 [hep-th] 18 Jun 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantization of gravity is a key issue in modern theoretical gravitational theory, since as a quantum field theory Einstein's general relativity with Lorentz symmetry is unrenormalizable. A renormalizable candidate of quantum gravity has been recently proposed by Hořava [1], who assumed that the Lorentz symmetry is broken in the ultraviolet, so that the anisotropic scalings between space and time are given by

$$\mathbf{x} \to \ell \mathbf{x}, \qquad t \to \ell^z t \quad . \tag{1.1}$$

A power-counting renormalizable gravity theory must satisfy $z \geq 3$ in 3+1 dimensional spacetime. Such a theory is called the Hořava-Lifshitz gravity.

Hořava-Lifshitz theory has attracted the attention of many theoretical physicists. However, it also faces several problems, in particular arising from the spin-0 graviton. In order to solve such shortcomings, a local U(1) gauge field A is introduced[2]. Meanwhile some recent works prove that the problems from spin-0 graviton can be cancelled in Hořava-Lifshtiz gravity by means of the U(1) gauge field A [3], and the post-newtonian approximation is also satisfied [4].

On the other hand, recently, Hartnoll, Herzog and Horowitz considered the AdS/CFT (Anti de Sitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence principle to study the strongly correlated condensed matter physics with the gravitational dual. They found a correspondence between the instability of black string and the second-order phase transition from normal to superconductor state.

Subsequently, several authours generalized the idea to investigate holographic superconductors of various black hole solutions [6]. However, the holographic superconductor models are under the framework of spacetime with Lorentz symmetry. In this paper, we build a holographic superconductor model with non-relativistic matter in static Hořava-Lifshtiz spacetime. The present research could help understanding the stability properties in Hořava-Lifshtiz spacetime.

We plan this paper as follows. In section II, we generalize the model in general relativity to build a holographic superconductor action in Hořava-Lifshitz spacetime, and focus on discussing the correction from non-relativistic terms in section III. Then, in section IV, the conductivity will be calculated, and section V includes some conclusions and a summary.

II. SUPERCONDUCTOR ACTION IN HOŘAVA-LIFSHITZ GRAVITY

In this section, we build a holographic superconductor model in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity. We first analyze the holographic superconductor in general relativity. In [5], Hartnoll, Herzog and Horowitz proposed the model with the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - \left|\nabla\bar{\Psi} - iq\mathcal{A}\bar{\Psi}\right|^2 - V(|\bar{\Psi}|). \tag{2.1}$$

where $\bar{\Psi}$ is the scalar field, $\mathcal{A}_\nu$ is electromagnetic four-potential in general relativity, and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu\mathcal{A}_\mu$. The holographic superconductor model also requests

$$V(|\bar{\Psi}|) = m^2\left|\bar{\Psi}\right|^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Rewritting the scalar field $\bar{\Psi}$ as Ψe^{ip} [7], with real Ψ and p, Eq.(2.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_G = &-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\Psi\nabla^\mu\Psi - V(|\Psi|) \\ &- \left(q\mathcal{A}_\mu - \partial_\mu p\right)\left(q\mathcal{A}^\mu - \partial^\mu p\right)\Psi^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We choose the gauge $p = 0$ and get the action of the simplest models in general relativity,

$$S_G = \int d^4x\sqrt{g^{(4)}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}_G^E + 2\mathcal{L}_G^S - \mathcal{L}_G^C\right), \tag{2.4}$$

*Electronic address: lk314159@hotmail.com
†Electronic address: eabdalla@usp.br
‡Electronic address: Anzhong˙Wang@baylor.edu

where $g^{(4)}$ is the determinant of the 4 dimensional metric $g^{(4)}_{\mu\nu}$, while the electromagnetic, scalar and coupling parts of the Lagrangian are, respectively, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^E_G &= \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu},\\ \mathcal{L}^S_G &= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\Psi\nabla^\mu\Psi-\frac{1}{2}V(|\Psi|),\\ \mathcal{L}^C_G &= q^2 g^{(4)\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_\mu\mathcal{A}_\nu\Psi^2 \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Next, we generalize the action in Hořava-Lifshitz theory, with the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2dt^2 + g_{ij}\left(dx^i - N^i dt\right)\left(dx^j - N^j dt\right). \tag{2.6}$$

The non-relativistic matter in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity was proposed in [8] and the Lagrangians of complex scalar and electromagnetic fields are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^E_H &= \frac{2}{N^2}g^{ij}\left(F_{0i}-F_{ki}N^k\right)\left(F_{0j}-F_{lj}N^l\right)\\ &\quad -F_{ij}F^{ij}-\beta_0-\beta_1 a_iB^i-\beta_2B_iB^i-\mathcal{G}_E \quad ,\\ \mathcal{L}^S_H &= \frac{1}{2N^2}\left|\partial_t\Psi-N^i\partial_i\Psi\right|^2-\frac{1}{2}\left|\partial\Psi\right|^2\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}V(|\Psi|)+\alpha_2\left|\partial\Psi\right|^2-\mathcal{H}_S \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $F_{ij}=\partial_jA_i-\partial_iA_j$. The Hořava-Lifshitz higher order corrections $\mathcal{G}_E$ and $\mathcal{H}_S$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_E &= \beta_3\left(B_iB^i\right)^2+\beta_4\left(B_iB^i\right)^3+\beta_5\left(\nabla_iB_j\right)\left(\nabla^iB^j\right)\\ &\quad +\beta_6\left(B_iB^i\right)\left(\nabla_kB_j\right)\left(\nabla^kB^j\right)\\ &\quad +\beta_7\left(\nabla_iB_j\right)\left(\nabla^iB^k\right)\left(\nabla^jB_j\right)\\ &\quad +\beta_8\left(\nabla_i\nabla_jB_k\right)\left(\nabla^i\nabla^jB^k\right) \quad ,\\ \mathcal{H}_S &= \alpha_3\left(\Psi\Delta\Psi\right)^2+\alpha_4\left(\Psi\Delta\Psi\right)^3+\alpha_5\Psi\Delta^2\Psi\\ &\quad +\alpha_6\left(\Psi\Delta\Psi\right)\left(\Psi\Delta^2\Psi\right)+\alpha_7\Psi\Delta^3\Psi \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where α_i are arbitrary functions of Ψ and β_i arbitrary functions of A_iA^i. Nonetheless, we consider α_i and β_i as constants in this paper, what can be seen as a weak field approximation. Moreover, $B^i=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\epsilon^{ijk}}{\sqrt{g}}F_{jk}$ with the Levi-Civita symbol ϵ^{ijk}. What we want to consider is just the lower order terms of above equations: the higher order terms $\mathcal{G}_E$ and $\mathcal{H}_S$ are ignored in this paper.

Now, let's construct the coupling between electromagnetic field and scalar field. The simplest transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}p_i &\rightarrow p_i-qA_i \quad ,\\ p_0 &\rightarrow p_0-qA_0 \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where A_i and A_0 satisfy the gauge invariant

$$\begin{aligned}A_i &\rightarrow A_i+\nabla_i\chi \quad ,\\ A_0 &\rightarrow A_0-\partial_t\chi \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Therefore, we make the replacement

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_i &\rightarrow \nabla_i-iqA_i \quad ,\\ \partial_0 &\rightarrow \partial_0-iqA_0 \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

and the complex scalar field is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^S_H &= \frac{1}{2N^2}\left|\partial_t\Psi-iqA_0\Psi-N^i\left(\partial_i\Psi-iqA_i\Psi\right)\right|^2\\ &\quad -\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_2\right)\left|\partial\Psi-iqA_i\Psi\right|^2-\frac{1}{2}V(|\Psi|)-\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_S \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_S$ is replaced by $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_S$ with $\partial_i\rightarrow\partial_i-iqA_i$.

Therefore, we builded a holographic superconductor model in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity,

$$S_H=\int dtd^3xN\sqrt{g}\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}^E_H+2\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^S_H\right) \quad . \tag{2.13}$$

Considering the relationship [8]

$$\begin{aligned}g^{(4)00}=-\frac{1}{N^2}, \quad g^{(4)0i}&=\frac{N^i}{N^2} \quad ,\\ g^{(4)ij}&=g^{ij}-\frac{N^iN^j}{N^2} \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

eq.(2.13) reduces into Eq.(2.1) when $\alpha_i=\beta_i=0$.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this paper, we aim at the holographic superconductor in the low energy limit of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, while Schwarzschild spacetime is one of the solutions of the low energy limit in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity[9]. Thus, let us consider the Schwarzschild spacetime

$$ds^2=-N^2(r)dt^2+\frac{dr^2}{f(r)}+r^2\left(dx^2+dy^2\right) \quad , \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$N^2(r)=f(r)=r^2-\frac{r_0^3}{r} \quad , \tag{3.2}$$

where we have chosen the AdS radius $L=1$. In the low energy case, we consider the temperature of black hole as given by $T_h=\frac{3r_0}{4\pi}$. We also set the simplest form for the mass parameter $m^2=-2+4\alpha_2$. Therefore, we derive the field equations in this Hořava-Lifshitz spacetime,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi''+\left(\frac{2}{r}+\frac{f'}{f}\right)\Psi'+\left[\frac{2}{f}+\frac{\Phi^2}{(1-2\alpha_2)f^2}\right]\Psi=0,\\ &\Phi''+\frac{2}{r}\Phi'-\frac{2\Psi^2}{f}\Phi=0 \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

At infinity, because $f(r)\rightarrow r^2$, we can get the boundary condition for Ψ and Φ

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= \frac{\sqrt{2}\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle}{r}+\frac{\sqrt{2}\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle}{r^2}+\cdots,\\ \Phi &= \mu-\frac{\rho}{r}+\cdots.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting the boundary condition into the main equations of the holographic superconductor, we can use the shooting method to calculate Eq.(3.3) numerically. Note that the correction from Hořava-Lifshitz gravity in Eq.(3.3) is α_2, so we focus on studying the effect of α_2.

We set $r_0 = 1$ in the definition of $f(r)$ and the charge of test particle as unit, $q = 1$. The critical temperature T_c in $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ is given in Table I.

TABLE I: Critical temperature T_c with $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ respectively

α_2	$\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle$	$\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$
0	$0.2255\rho^{1/2}$	$0.1184\rho^{1/2}$
0.1	$0.2385\rho^{1/2}$	$0.1252\rho^{1/2}$
0.2	$0.2563\rho^{1/2}$	$0.1346\rho^{1/2}$
0.3	$0.2836\rho^{1/2}$	$0.1489\rho^{1/2}$
0.4	$0.3373\rho^{1/2}$	$0.1771\rho^{1/2}$

The results show that the effect of α_2 is to increase the critical temperature, while the effect of $\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$ is more obvious than the effect of $\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle$.

Then, we draw the transition curve in FIG.1, and we find that, as the α_2 increases, the curved line gets higher.

IV. CONDUCTIVITY

Here, we discuss the conductivity. Considering the perturbed Maxwell field $A_i = \delta_i^x e^{-i\omega t} A_x(r)$ while $A_0 = 0$, we obtain the equation

$$A_x''(r) + \frac{8r^3\beta_2 + f'(r)}{2r^4\beta_2 + f(r)} A_x'(r) + \frac{\omega^2 - 2(1-2\alpha_2)f(r)\Psi^2}{(2r^4\beta_2 + f(r))\, f(r)} A_x(r) = 0 \quad , \tag{4.1}$$

but what we are interested in is the case $\beta_2 = 0$, in which case the above equation is rewritten as

$$A_x'' + \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} A_x' + \left[\frac{\omega^2}{f(r)^2} - \frac{2(1-2\alpha_2)\Psi^2}{f(r)}\right] A_x = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

We consider the low energy scale case, when the boundary condition at $r = r_0$ requires

$$A_x(r) \sim f(r)^{-\frac{\omega}{3r_0}} \quad , \tag{4.3}$$

while the behavior of A_x in the asymptotic AdS region is given by

$$A_x(r) = A_x^{(0)} + \frac{A_x^{(1)}}{r} + \cdots \quad , \tag{4.4}$$

and the definition of conductivity is [5]

$$\sigma = -\frac{iA_x^{(1)}}{\omega A_x^{(0)}} \quad . \tag{4.5}$$

Using the above formulas, we draw the relation between $\mathrm{Re}(\sigma)$ and ω. We find that the real part of conductivity curved lines are lower as α_2 increases. On the other hand, from the relations between $-\mathrm{Im}(\sigma)$ and ω, we find that the imaginary part of the conductivity lines are higher as α_2 increases.

Finally, we plot $\frac{\Theta(\omega)}{\Theta(0)}$ with small ω (where $\Theta \equiv -\omega \mathrm{Im}\sigma$), and FIG. 4 shows that the $\frac{\Theta(\omega)}{\Theta(0)}$ goes to a constant as ω is enough small, but the curves are lower as α_2 increases. The values of $\Theta(0)$ are given in table II.

TABLE II: $\Theta_1(0)$ and $\Theta_2(0)$ with α_2 as $r_0\Psi(r_0) = 5.8$

α_2	$\Theta_1(0)$	$\Theta_2(0)$
0	11.6798	6.6225
0.1	10.4294	6.1340
0.2	9.0088	5.5426
0.3	7.3211	4.7786
0.4	5.1131	3.6468

V. CONCLUSION

We built the simplest holographic superconductor model in the low energy limit of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity and studied the property of transition near the critical temperature T_c in static Hořava-Lifshitz spacetime. We found that the correction comes from the $\alpha_2\Psi\Delta\Psi$ term in 3+1 dimensional static spacetime. The study also shows that the correction of $\langle\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$ is more obvious than the effect on $\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle$. From Eq.(3.3), if we make the transformation $\frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{1-2\alpha_2}} \to \tilde{\Phi}$, we find the equations of superconductors will give the same results for any constant α_2, but α_2 can modify the conductivity in Section IV.

What we considered is just the simplest case, but it is possible that the correction comes from $\mathcal{H}_S$ and $\mathcal{G}_E$ as the black hole is charged or rotated. On the other hand, our work proves that it should introduce a $U(1)$ symmetrical field to avoid the difficulties from spin-0 graviton in Hořava-Lifshitz theory [3][4], so it is more meaningful to research the holographic superconductor in general case with a $U(1)$ symmetric field.

The effect of the constant α_2 is to decrease the value of conductivity and lower the superconductor effect (see table II). However, we did not see and insulator effect, a case in which we have to consider large values of α_2, which then might be field dependent.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by FAPESP No. 2012/08934-0 (EA, KL); CNPq (EA, KL); DOE Grant, DE-FG02-10ER41692 (AW); Ciência Sem Fronteiras, No.



FIG. 1: The condensate as a function of the temperature for the operators $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$.



FIG. 2: The real part of conductivity for the two operators $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$.

004/2013 - DRI/CAPES (AW); and NSFC No. 11375153 (AW).

[1] P. Hořava, Phys. Rev. D**79**, 084008 (2009) arXiv:0901.3775; A. M. da Silva, Classical Quantum Gravity 28, 055011 (2011).

[2] P. Hořava and C. M. Melby-Thompson, Phys. Rev. D 82, 064027 (2010).

[3] A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 124063 (2010) arXiv:1008.3637; A. Borzou, K. Lin, and A. Wang, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., **05**, 006 (2011) arXiv:1103.4366;S. Mukohyama, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., **06**, 001 (2009) arXiv:0904.2190; T. Zhu, Q. Wu, A. Wang, and F.-W. Shu, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 101502 (R) (2011) [arXiv:1108.1237]; T. Zhu, F.-W. Shu, Q. Wu, and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 85, 044053 (2012) arXiv:1110.5106;A. Wang and Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. D**83**, 044031 (2011) arXiv:1009. 2089;K. Lin, A. Wang, Q. Wu, and T. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 044051 (2011) arXiv:1106.1486; Elcio Abdalla, Alan M. da Silva Phys.Lett. B707 (2012) 311-314.

[4] K. Lin, S. Mukohyama and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104024 (2012) arXiv:1206.1338; K. Lin and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D87, 084041 (2013) arXiv:1212.6794;K. Lin, S. Mukohyama, A. Wang and T. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D (accept) arXiv:1310.6666.

[5] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, Phys.Rev.Lett. 101, 031601 (2008); J. High Energy Phys. 12, 015 (2008).

[6] C.P. Herzog, J. Phys. A 42, 343001 (2009); S.A. Hartnoll, Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 224002 (2009); G.T. Horowitz, Lect. Notes Phys. 828 313, 2011; arXiv:1002.1722 [hep-th];G.T. Horowitz and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D 59, 026005 (1999);T. Nishioka, S. Ryu, and T. Takayanagi, J. High Energy Phys. 03, 131 (2010);G.T. Horowitz and B. Way, J. High Energy Phys. 11, 011 (2010); Y. Peng, Q.Y. Pan, and B. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 699, 383 (2011); R.G. Cai, S. He, L. Li, and Y.L. Zhang, J. High Energy Phys. 07, 088 (2012); Y. Peng, X.M. Kuang, Y.Q. Liu, and B. Wang, arXiv:1204.2853 [hep-th];R.G. Cai, H.F. Li, and H.Q. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 83, 126007 (2011); arXiv:1103.5568 [hep-th];Q.Y. Pan, J.L. Jing, and B. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 84, 126020 (2011);Chong Oh Lee, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 2092 (2012);J.P. Wu, Y.Cao, X.M.Kuang and W.J. Li, Phys.Lett. B 697, 153 (2011);G. Siopsis and J. Therrien, J. High Energy Phys. 05, 013 (2010) arXiv:1003.4275;Q.Y. Pan, J.L. Jing, B. Wang and S.B. Chen, J. High Energy Phys. 06, 087 (2012) arXiv:1205.3543; E. Abdalla, O. P. F. Piedra, F. S. Nuez, Jeferson de Oliveira, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) 6, 064035; E. Abdalla, Jeferson de Oliveira, A. Lima-Santos, A.B. Pavan Phys.Lett. B709 (2012) 276-279.



FIG. 3: The imaginary part of conductivity for the two operators $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$.



FIG. 4: $\frac{\Theta(\omega)}{\Theta(0)}$ for the two operators $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ in rotating spacetime, where $\Theta(\omega) \equiv -\omega \mathrm{Im}\sigma$

[7] S. Franco, A.García-García and D. Rodríguez-Gómez, J. High Energy Phys. 04, 092 (2010) arXiv:0906.1214.

[8] E.Kiritsis and G. Kofinas, Nucl.Phys.B821 467 (2009); I. Kimpton and A. Padilla, J. High Energy Phys. 04, 133 (2013) arXiv:1301.6950.

[9] R. Cai, L. Cao and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D 80, 024003 (2009); R. Cai and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 81, 066003 (2010); H. Lu, J. Mei, and C. N. Pope, Phys.Rev.Lett. 103, 091301 (2009) arXiv:0904.1595.